

**From:** Jamie Baxter Contact Information Redacted

**Date:** Monday, April 17, 2017 at 15:16

**To:** "[steve.crocker@icann.org](mailto:steve.crocker@icann.org)" <[steve.crocker@icann.org](mailto:steve.crocker@icann.org)>

**Cc:** Scott Seitz Contact Information Redacted, Arif Ali Contact Information Redacted, Goran Marby  
<[goran.marby@icann.org](mailto:goran.marby@icann.org)>

**Subject:** [Ext] blog post on CPE investigation

Good afternoon Dr. Crocker.

I write as a follow up to a statement made by a Board member during the Copenhagen public forum session on Thursday March 16. Specifically, I seek to clearly understand the intended timeline for when the "blog post" referenced below about the ongoing CPE investigation will be made public. If in fact it has been made public in a location other than the ICANN Blog website, your direction would be appreciated.

My understanding of the statement below from the public forum, made by Chris Disspain, was that the blog post was near complete and perhaps not yet posted because of ICANN58 preparations. Although we are now over 30 days past ICANN58 there appears to still be no posting. If in fact ICANN has changed course on sharing an update with the community on the ongoing CPE investigation, perhaps a follow up statement on the rationale to not share an update would be in order.

Taken from the Public Forum transcript:

CHRIS DISSPAIN - *Yes, I understand. I was actually -- I have -- we have actually drafted a blog about this but it -- I think it's all got caught up in the rush of an ICANN meeting but I had hoped to get it out before we started.*

*There are a number of reconsideration requests, et cetera, that have been delayed and are, in essence, outside of our time frame, but I think our time frame is described as, you know, where -- "unless necessary," and I think the board's strong view is that any of the reconsideration requests or IRP results that could -- that could -- where there might be an impact from the results of the independent review should await the results of that before making a decision.*

*So we've taken that view. I accept that it's inconvenient, but I think it's the right way forward.*

MIKE PALAGE - *Thank you. The only thing I would have to say is: If you could just communicate, that would help.*

CHRIS DISPPAIN - *I agree. And hopefully we'll get the blog out shortly.*

As a community applicant that has shared our deep concerns with the implementation and oversight of CPE on numerous occasions, we wish to reiterate our ongoing concerns with the lack of transparency that affected parties are receiving on this issue.

I look forward to your response with a date we can expect a blog post to appear, or the Board's new rationale for not making such a post.

Much appreciated.  
Jamie Baxter

Jamie Baxter  
VP of Marketing  
dotgay LLC  
307 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1807  
New York, NY 10001

Contact Information  
~~Redacted~~  
Contact Information Redacted

[www.dotgay.com](http://www.dotgay.com)[dotgay.com]

Please join us on Facebook at [www.facebook.dotgay.com](http://www.facebook.dotgay.com)[facebook.dotgay.com]  
and follow us at [www.twitter.com/dotgay](http://www.twitter.com/dotgay)[twitter.com]