

Wild Card Report (Redirection in the COM and NET Domains)

Steve Crocker SSAC Chair July 21, 2004

www.icann.org/committees/security/ssac-report 09jul04.pdf



SSAC: Security and Stability Advisory Committee

- An advisory committee to the ICANN board
- Volunteers individual, technically competent, unpaid
- SSAC operates semi-independently
 - Does not speak for ICANN
 - Focuses on security and stability, not politics or contracts

Background

- 15 Sept 2003 VeriSign changed COM and NET domain registries
- Queries of uninstantiated names usually typographical mistakes – were redirected to VeriSign's servers instead of receiving the standard error code.
- Community response was swift and vocal
- VeriSign suspended the change
- SSAC held meetings in October

Findings 1-4

- VeriSign changed the registry; caused harm
- The Change violated engineering principles, blurred architectural layers
- VeriSign's Change put itself in the loop for all current and future protocol changes
- 4. The Change was abrupt despite long internal development

Findings 5-8

- 5. Quick reactions yielded more changes and counterpatches
- 6. Email senders and receivers were ingested into VeriSign servers
- Web redirection program collected information associated with users
- 8. The collective events reduced trust overall



- No new wild cards in TLDs
- Roll back wild cards in existing TLDs
- 3. Clean up specs
- Enforce proper discipline, including open notice and consensus, for registry changes

DNSSEC Deployment

Steve Crocker Shinkuro, Inc. July 21, 2004

What is DNSSEC?

- Cryptographic signatures in DNS
- Assures integrity of DNS query results
 - Protects against tampering in caches, transmission
- End-system checks signature chain up to root
- Key Internet infrastructure strengthening step
 - Routing & DDoS suppression are the other key steps

History & Status

- DNS threats identified in early 1990s
- DNS Security Protocol design started
- >10 years to complete the specification(!)
 - Three major iterations, each with prototype implementation and testing
- Specification emerging now from the IETF

The Deployment Process

- Specification and Design
- Implementation
- Testing
- Productization

- Education/Marketing
- Adoption
- Training
- Operation
- Incident Handling

- ✓ Mostly done
- o In process
- To be started

Lots of Work

Still to be Done

Broad "Epochs"

- Empty The current status
- Isolated Just a few zones are signed
- Sparse A large number but a small fraction
- Dense A large fraction
- Complete Someday...

Challenge: Manage the Isolated and Sparse periods; spur adoption

ICANN Roles

- IANA is pivotal point for Root
 - Signing the root requires IANA, DoC, and Root Servers cooperation and new procedures
- SSAC
 - SSAC has examined deployment issues
 - Level of effort exceeds SSAC capability
 - New project created



The DNSSEC Deployment Project

- Structure ("Virtual Program Management")
- Government Funding
- Major Players and Objectives

"Virtual Program Management"

- Build and Refine Road Map
- Measure Progress
- Identify Issues
- Organize solutions

Open and Inclusive Process

The DNSSEC Road Map

- Major operating components
 - End-systems
 - Nearest DNS resolver
 - Recursive resolvers
 - Caches and Secondaries
 - Authoritative zone servers
 - Registries (TLDs) and Root
 - Registrars

Issues - 1

- Root Key
 - How to distribute
 - Who controls it
 - How to roll it over
- End Systems
 - What do end systems do while DNSSEC is only sparsely available

Issues - 2

Trust Anchors

- Multiple "Secure Entry Points" during early epochs
- How to distribute keys and inform end systems

Privacy

 DNSSEC enables "zone walking" to learn the full set of names in a zone

Funding and Management

- U.S. Dept of Homeland Security
 - Other government funding desired...
- U.S. Leadership
 - Russ Mundy, Steve Crocker, NIST
- European Leadership
 - Johan Ihren, Olaf Kolkman, et al.
- Steering groups being formed



Major Groups & Objectives

- IANA, Root Server Operators
- gTLDS
- ccTLDs
- DNS software vendors
- Major organizations