

Ticket ID: N8L0V-3C7B3

Registry Name: DotCooperation LLC

gTLD: .COOP

**Status: ICANN Review** 

Status Date: 2015-02-08 03:47:37 Print Date: 2015-02-08 03:47:58

### **Proposed Service**

Name of Proposed Service:

Technical description of Proposed Service:

Appendix A (dotCoop ICANN Admendment 2.pdf)

#### Consultation

Please describe with specificity your consultations with the community, experts and or others. What were the quantity, nature and content of the consultations?:

These changes are being prompted by our move to a new back-end registry - CentralNIC Ltd. \r\n\r\nThis is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.\r\n

a. If the registry is a sponsored TLD, what were the nature and content of these consultations with the sponsored TLD community?:

These changes are being prompted by our move to a new back-end registry - CentralNIC Ltd. \r\n\r\nThis is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.

b. Were consultations with gTLD registrars or the registrar constituency appropriate? Which registrars were consulted? What were the nature and content of the consultation?:

These changes are being prompted by our move to a new back-end registry - CentralNIC Ltd. \(\text{V}\n\r\n\r\n\)



Ticket ID: N8L0V-3C7B3

**Registry Name: DotCooperation LLC** 

gTLD: .COOP

**Status: ICANN Review** 

Status Date: 2015-02-08 03:47:37 Print Date: 2015-02-08 03:47:58

that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.

c. Were consultations with other constituency groups appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.

d. Were consultations with end users appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.

e. Who would endorse the introduction of this service? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

These changes are being prompted by our move to a new back-end registry - CentralNIC Ltd. \r\n\r\nThis is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.

f. Who would object the introduction of this service? What were(or would be) the nature and content of these consultations?:

No known objectors

#### **Timeline**

Please describe the timeline for implementation of the proposed new registry service:

These changes are being prompted by our move to a new back-end registry - CentralNIC Ltd. \text{Vr\n\r\nThese} are non-controversial changes that are intended to be implemented as soon as the transfer from the current back-end registry occurs.\text{V\n\r\nThis} transfer is planned to occur during last January/ February 2015. The exact date is to be confirmed.

### **Business Description**

Describe how the Proposed Service will be offered:



Ticket ID: N8L0V-3C7B3

Registry Name: DotCooperation LLC

gTLD: .COOP

**Status: ICANN Review** 

Status Date: 2015-02-08 03:47:37 Print Date: 2015-02-08 03:47:58

This service will be offered by the back-end registry provider, CentralNIC Ltd, and will work with various registrars. The changes requested here will be pushed to the zone file in the same manner as any other domain registration.

Describe quality assurance plan or testing of Proposed Service:

This is the same system that has passed PDT multiple times, for each new gTLD that has launched under ICANNs new\r\ngTLD program.

Please list any relevant RFCs or White Papers on the proposed service and explain how those papers are relevant.:

This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times. This request implements the multi-stakeholder,\r\nbottom-up policy developed over the years of ICANN\'s community feedback and agreements on these issues.

#### **Contractual Provisions**

List the relevant contractual provisions impacted by the Proposed Service:

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the reporting of data to ICANN:

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the Whois?:

#### **Contract Amendments**

Please describe or provide the necessary contractual amendments for the proposed service:

### **Benefits of Service**

Describe the benefits of the Proposed Service:

These changes are being prompted by our move to a new back-end registry - CentralNIC Ltd. \r\n\r\nThis is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.



Ticket ID: N8L0V-3C7B3

Registry Name: DotCooperation LLC

gTLD: .COOP

**Status: ICANN Review** 

Status Date: 2015-02-08 03:47:37 Print Date: 2015-02-08 03:47:58

### Competition

Do you believe your proposed new Registry Service would have any positive or negative effects on competition? If so, please explain.:

v\nWe do not see any impact on competition with the implementation of the services described here.

How would you define the markets in which your proposed Registry Service would compete?:

\r\nThe TLD\'s market is existing and future TLD registrants.

What companies/entities provide services or products that are similar in substance or effect to your proposed Registry Service?:

\text{V\nThis} is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times. This request implements the multi-stakeholder,\text{V\nEach new gTLD offers a similar service.}}

This request implements the multi-stakeholder,\text{V\nEach new gTLD offers a similar service.}}

In view of your status as a registry operator, would the introduction of your proposed Registry Service potentially impair the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete?:

\text{V\nNo}; these changes are being made in order to move our back-end registry to CentralNIC LTD\r\n\r\nThis is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.\r\n\r\nEach new gTLD offers a similar service.

Do you propose to work with a vendor or contractor to provide the proposed Registry Service? If so, what is the name of the vendor/contractor, and describe the nature of the services the vendor/contractor would provide.:

CentralNIC LTD, our new back-end registry service provider.

Have you communicated with any of the entities whose products or services might be affected by the introduction of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please describe the communications.:

Yes, CentralNIC LTD, our back-end registry service provider.



Ticket ID: N8L0V-3C7B3

Registry Name: DotCooperation LLC

gTLD: .COOP

**Status: ICANN Review** 

Status Date: 2015-02-08 03:47:37 Print Date: 2015-02-08 03:47:58

Do you have any documents that address the possible effects on competition of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please submit them with your application. (ICANN will keep the documents confidential).:

\r\nNo effects on competition are foreseen.

### **Security and Stability**

Does the proposed service alter the storage and input of Registry Data?:

\r\nAs otherwise described in the document attached to this request.

Please explain how the proposed service will affect the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of reponses to Internet servers or end systems:

\r\nAs otherwise described in the document attached to this request.

Have technical concerns been raised about the proposed service, and if so, how do you intend to address those concerns?:

\r\nNone have been raised.

#### Other Issues

Are there any Intellectual Property considerations raised by the Proposed Service:

\r\nNone have been raised.

Does the proposed service contain intellectual property exclusive to your gTLD registry?:

\r\nN/A

List Disclaimers provided to potential customers regarding the Proposed Service:



Ticket ID: N8L0V-3C7B3

**Registry Name: DotCooperation LLC** 

gTLD: .COOP

**Status: ICANN Review** 

Status Date: 2015-02-08 03:47:37 Print Date: 2015-02-08 03:47:58

\r\nNone provided

Any other relevant information to include with this request:

\r\nNone