

1 **NEWMAN & NEWMAN, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, LLP**

2 Derek A. Newman (190467)
3 S. Christopher Winter (190474)
4 Venkat Balasubramani (189192)
5 505 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 610
6 Seattle, WA 98104
7 Telephone: (206) 274-2800
8 Facsimile: (206) 274-2801

9 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12 REGISTERSITE.COM, an Assumed
13 Name of ABR PRODUCTS INC., a
14 New York Corporation, *et al.*,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 INTERNET CORPORATION FOR
18 ASSIGNED NAMES AND
19 NUMBERS, a California corporation,
20 *et al.*,

21 Defendants.

Case No. CV 04-1368 ABC (CWx)

Hon. Audrey B. Collins

**PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO
MOTION BY DEFENDANT
INTERNET CORPORATION FOR
ASSIGNED NAMES AND
NUMBERS TO DISMISS
CERTAIN CAUSES OF ACTION
FOR FAILURE TO STATE A
CLAIM UNDER FRCP 12(B)(6)**

DATE: July 12, 2004
TIME: 10:00 a.m.
COURTROOM: Room 680 –
Roybal Bldg.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

2

3 **I. INTRODUCTION** 1

4 **II. FACTS** 2

5 **III. ARGUMENT** 4

6 A. Plaintiffs’ Claims Under California’s Unfair Competition Law
7 Are Legally Sufficient. 5

8 1. Plaintiffs Do Not Seek Restitution or Disgorgement, and
9 Are Not Required to Establish that They Are
10 “Competent” to Bring a Claim Under the UCL. 5

11 2. Plaintiffs Have Sufficiently Pleaded Their UCL Claims
12 Against ICANN 7

13 3. Plaintiffs Have Alleged That, Under the WLS, Prizes Are
14 Awarded by Chance. 10

15 4. Domain Names Are Property That May Be Distributed in
16 a Lottery. 11

17 B. Plaintiffs Were Not Parties to the Dotster Litigation, and
18 Plaintiffs’ Breach of Contract Claim Against ICANN Is Not
19 Barred by the Doctrines of Collateral Estoppel or Res Judicata 11

20 1. Plaintiffs’ Contract Claim Is Not Barred by Collateral
21 Estoppel. 12

22 2. There Is No Privity Between the Dotster Plaintiffs and the
23 Plaintiffs in the Current Lawsuit. 13

24 3. Plaintiffs’ Contract Claim Is not Barred by Res Judicata. . . . 16

25 **IV. CONCLUSION** 19

26

27

28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

SUPREME COURT CASES

Blonder-Tongue Laboratories, Inc. v. University of Illinois Foundation,
402 U.S. 313 (1971) 12, 16

Conley v. Gibson,
355 U.S. 41 (1957) 4

Scheuer v. Rhodes,
416 U.S. 232, 236 (1974) 4

NINTH CIRCUIT CASES

Air Line Pilots Ass'n, Int'l v. Transam. Airlines, Inc.,
817 F.2d 510 (9th Cir. 1987) 5

Emrich v. Touche Ross & Company,
846 F.2d 1190 (9th Cir. 1988) 4

In re Palmer,
207 F.3d 566 (9th Cir. 2000) 12

In re Schimmels,
127 F.3d 875 (9th Cir. 1987) 14

Kremen v. Cohen,
337 F.3d 1024 (9th Cir. 2003) 11

Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Network Solutions, Inc.,
194 F.3d 980 (9th Cir. 1999) 11

McDougal v. County of Imperial,
942 F.2d 668 (9th Cir. 1991) 5

Nordhorn v. Ladish Co.,
9 F.3d 1402 (9th Cir. 1993) 17

Pena v. Gardner,
976 F.2d 469 (9th Cir. 1992) 12

Shaw v. Hahn,
56 F.3d 1128 (9th Cir. 1995) 15

Tahoe-Sierra Preservation Council, Inc. v. Tahoe Regional Planning Agency,
322 F.3d 1064 (9th Cir. 2003) 16, 18

United States v. Rayonier, Inc.,
627 F.2d 996 (9th Cir. 1980) 13, 18

1 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CASES**

2 Dotster, Inc. v. ICANN,
3 Case No. CV 03-5045 (C.D.Cal. Dec. 5, 2003) 1, 11, 13
4 GlobeSpan, Inc. v. O’Neill,
5 151 F. Supp. 2d 1229 (C.D. Cal. 2001) 9
6 Marshall v. Std. Ins. Co.,
7 214 F. Supp. 2d 1062 (C.D. Cal., 2000) 6, 7

8 **CALIFORNIA STATE COURT CASES**

9 ABC International Traders, Inc. v. Matsushita Electric Corp. of America,
10 14 Cal.4th 1247 (1997) 6
11 Barquis v. Merchants Collection Assn.,
12 7 Cal. 3d 94 (1972) 5
13 California Gasoline Retailers v. Regal Petroleum Corp.,
14 50 Cal. 2d 844 (1958) 7
15 Cel-Tech Communications, Inc. v. Los Angeles Cellular Telephone Co.,
16 20 Cal. 4th 163 (1999) 5
17 Khoury v. Maly's of California,
18 14 Cal. App. 4th 612 (1993) 7
19 Quelimane Co., Inc. v. Stewart Title Guaranty Co.,
20 19 Cal.4th 26 (1998) 10
21 Rosenbluth Int’l v. Superior Court,
22 101 Cal. App.4th 1073 (2002) 6
23 Stop Youth Addiction, Inc. v. Lucky Stores, Inc.,
24 17 Cal. 4th 553 (1998) 5
25 Wilner v. Sunset Life Ins. Co.,
26 78 Cal. App.4th 952 (2000) 6

27 **OTHER FEDERAL COURT CASES**

28 Dorer v. Arel,
60 F. Supp. 2d 558 (E.D.Va. 1999) 11
Gambocz v. Yelencics,
468 F.2d 837 (3rd Cir. 1972) 13
McMahon v. Pier 39 Ltd. Partnership,
2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 22178 (N.D. Cal. 2003) 14