

1 RONALD L. JOHNSTON (State Bar No. 057418)
LAURENCE J. HUTT (State Bar No. 066269)
2 SUZANNE V. WILSON (State Bar No. 152399)
JAMES S. BLACKBURN (State Bar No. 169134)
3 ARNOLD & PORTER LLP
1900 Avenue of the Stars, 17th Floor
4 Los Angeles, California 90067-4408
Telephone: (310) 552-2500
5 Facsimile: (310) 552-1191

6 Attorneys for Defendant
7 VERISIGN, INC.

8
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11
12 REGISTERSITE.COM, an Assumed
Name of ABR PRODUCTS INC., a
13 New York Corporation, et al.,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 INTERNET CORPORATION FOR
ASSIGNED NAMES AND
17 NUMBERS, a California corporation;
VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware
18 Corporation; NETWORK
SOLUTIONS, INC., a Delaware
19 Corporation; ENOM, INC., a
Washington Corporation; ENOM
20 FOREIGN HOLDINGS
CORPORATION, a Washington
21 Corporation; and DOES 1-10,
inclusive,

22 Defendants.
23

Case No. CV 04-1368 ABC (CWx)

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS
AND AUTHORITIES IN
SUPPORT OF MOTION BY
DEFENDANT VERISIGN, INC.
TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS'
ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR
RELIEF FOR IMPROPER
VENUE**

[Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(3)]

Date: July 12, 2004

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Courtroom: Room 680 – Roybal Bldg.

Judge: Hon. Audrey B. Collins

[Notice of Motion and Motion and
Declaration of Barbara Knight filed
concurrently herewith]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	2
A. The Parties	2
B. The Registry-Registrar Agreement between VeriSign and Plaintiffs.....	2
III. VIRGINIA IS THE ONLY PROPER VENUE FOR PLAINTIFFS’ ELEVETH CAUSE OF ACTION	4
A. The Forum Selection Clause Is Presumptively Valid And Applies To The Claim At Issue	5
1. The forum selection clause is not the result of fraud or undue influence	6
2. Plaintiffs cannot establish that Virginia is a forum so gravely difficult and inconvenient as to deprive them of their day in court	8
3. Enforcement of the forum selection clause here does not contravene any strong public policy of California.....	9
4. VeriSign’s forum selection clause dispels confusion and helps conserve judicial resources	11
IV. CONCLUSION.....	12

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

FEDERAL CASES	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>Batchelder v. Kawamoto</i> , 147 F.3d 915 (9th Cir. 1998)	6
<i>Brinderson-Newberg Joint Venture v. Pac. Erectors, Inc.</i> , 690 F. Supp. 891 (C.D. Cal. 1988)	5, 11
<i>Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc. v. Shute</i> , 499 U.S. 585, 111 S. Ct. 1522, 113 L. Ed. 2d 622 (1991).....	7, 8, 10, 11
<i>Greater N.Y. Auto. Dealers Ass'n v. Envtl. Sys. Testing, Inc.</i> , 211 F.R.D. 71 (E.D.N.Y. 2002).....	4, 9
<i>Hendrickson v. Ebay Inc.</i> , 165 F. Supp. 2d 1082 (C.D. Cal. 2001)	7, 11
<i>Hopkinson v. Lotus Dev. Corp.</i> , 1995 WL 381888 (N.D. Cal. 1995)	9
<i>Jack Winter, Inc. v. Koratron Co.</i> , 326 F. Supp. 121 (N.D. Cal. 1971).....	8
<i>Kelso Enter., Ltd. v. M/V Wisida Frost</i> , 8 F. Supp. 2d 1197 (C.D. Cal. 1998)	4, 5, 11
<i>M/S Bremen v. Zapata Off-Shore Co.</i> , 407 U.S. 1, 92 S. Ct. 1907, 32 L. Ed. 2d 513 (1972).....	5, 6
<i>Manetti-Farrow, Inc. v. Gucci Am., Inc.</i> , 858 F.2d 509 (9th Cir. 1988)	5, 9
<i>Mid Atl. Paper, LLC v. Scott County Tobacco Warehouses, Inc.</i> , 2004 WL 326710 (W.D. Va. Feb. 23, 2004)	4
<i>Nissan Motor Co. v. Nissan Computer Corp.</i> , 89 F. Supp. 2d 1154 (C.D. Cal. 2000)	4
<i>Pelleport Investors, Inc. v. Budco Quality Theatres, Inc.</i> , 741 F.2d 273 (9th Cir. 1984)	5
<i>R.A. Argueta v. Banco Mexicano, S.A.</i> , 87 F.3d 320 (9th Cir. 1996)	4, 5, 6, 8
<i>Richards v. Lloyd's of London</i> , 135 F.3d 1289 (9th Cir. 1998)	9
<i>Rini Wine Co. v. Guild Wineries & Distilleries</i> , 604 F. Supp. 1055 (N.D. Ohio 1985).....	7