

COPY

1 Jeffrey A. LeVee (State Bar No. 125863)  
2 Emma Killick (State Bar No. 192469)  
3 Sean W. Jaquez (State Bar No. 223132)  
4 JONES DAY  
5 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4600  
6 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1025  
7 Telephone: (213) 489-3939  
8 Facsimile: (213) 243-2539

9 Joe Sims (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
10 JONES DAY  
11 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.  
12 Washington, D.C. 20001-2113  
13 Telephone: (202) 879-3939  
14 Facsimile: (202) 626-1700

15 Attorneys for Defendant  
16 INTERNET CORPORATION FOR  
17 ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS

18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
19 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

20 REGISTERSITE.COM, et al.,  
21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 INTERNET CORPORATION FOR  
24 ASSIGNED NAMES AND  
25 NUMBERS, a California  
26 Corporation; VERISIGN, INC., a  
27 Delaware Corporation; NETWORK  
28 SOLUTIONS, INC., a Delaware  
Corporation; ENOM, INC., a  
Washington Corporation; ENOM  
FOREIGN HOLDINGS  
CORPORATION, a Washington  
Corporation; and DOES 1-10,  
inclusive;  
Defendants.

Case No. 04CV1368 ABC (CWx)

**STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME  
FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND  
TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED  
COMPLAINT**

FILED

2004 MAY -4 PM 3:52

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DIST. C. CALIF.  
LOS ANGELES

BY \_\_\_\_\_

1 Plaintiffs, on the one hand, and Defendants VeriSign, Inc. (“VeriSign”),  
2 Network Solutions, Inc. (“NSI”), Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and  
3 Numbers (“ICANN”), and eNom Inc. and eNom Foreign Holdings Corporation  
4 (collectively "eNom"), on the other, enter into this Stipulation, through their  
5 respective counsel of record, based upon and with respect to the following  
6 circumstances:

7 A. On March 1, 2004, Plaintiffs commenced this action with the filing of  
8 a Complaint against ICANN and VeriSign. Plaintiffs served the Complaint on  
9 ICANN and VeriSign on or about March 4, 2004. Pursuant to a Stipulation filed  
10 with the Court on March 16, 2004, the parties agreed that ICANN and VeriSign  
11 could have an extension of time, through and including April 8, 2004, within which  
12 to respond to the Complaint.

13 B. Thereafter, following a “meet and confer” session between Plaintiffs  
14 and VeriSign on March 29, 2004, and a “meet and confer” session between  
15 Plaintiffs and ICANN on April 1, 2004, with respect to intended motions by  
16 VeriSign and ICANN to dismiss the Complaint, Plaintiffs elected to file the current  
17 First Amended Complaint, which Plaintiffs served on ICANN and VeriSign on  
18 April 8, 2004.

19 C. The First Amended Complaint adds new defendants and several  
20 additional causes of action, legal theories, and allegations. The Plaintiffs had  
21 previously agreed to grant VeriSign and ICANN an extension of time, from April  
22 21, 2004, through and including May 10, 2004, within which to respond to the First  
23 Amended Complaint, without prejudice to the rights of VeriSign and ICANN to  
24 request or to move the Court for, additional time, if needed, beyond that date to  
25 respond. That stipulation was filed with and approved by the Court on April 22,  
26 2004.

27 D. The three additional defendants named in the First Amended  
28 Complaint were served with the summons and the First Amended Complaint